UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,427	12/17/2003	Joel Morganroth	D5859-00021	8208	
8933 DUANE MOR	7590 04/03/200° RIS, LLP	EXAMINER			
IP DEPARTMI 30 SOUTH 177	ENT		LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ		
	IIA, PA 19103-4196		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3766		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/03/2007	PAF	EB	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				E
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/738,427	MORGANROTH, JOEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· ·
		Carl H. Layno	3766	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS HE MAILING THE MONTHS HE MAI	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	•	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	January 2007.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	•	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-18 and 20-54</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawithd			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-15,20,43 and 44</u> is/are allow	ed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-8,16-18,21,22,26-30,32,33,37-41,</u>	45-48,50-52 and 54 is/are	rejected.	
	Claim(s) <u>23-25,31,34-36,42,49 and 53</u> is/are			•
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
.11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bure	•		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.	
•				
Attachmer	nt(s)	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3766

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's amendment, which was received by the Office on January 10, 2007.

2. Claims 9 and 19 are canceled. Claims 1-8, 10-18, and 20-54 are active and pending.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 49 and 53 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The method step of claim 49 does not appear to further limit the method step of parent claim 47 since both state the step of "receiving an identification of interval points for a plurality of intervals" associated with "at least two heartbeats".

Likewise, the details of claim 53 do not appear to further limit those already recited in parent claim 51 since both recite a "means for receiving an identification of interval points for a plurality of intervals" associated with "at least two heartbeats".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3766

5. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

In regard to claim 6, the statement in lines 8-9 of the claim: "providing said ECGs to at least one evaluating physician and respective interval duration measurement data for medical evaluation" is confusing and, possibly, incomplete. To overcome this ambiguity, the Examiner recommends rewording this statement by inserting the words "on a display" between the phrases "duration measurement data" and "for medical evaluation" so that the claim is consistent with the wording of sister claim 16.

In regard to claim 16, the language of line 13 is inconsistent with the rest of the claim. It is unclear if the language in line 13 is incomplete and lacking additional language. Specifically, the statement "automatically flagging at least one ECG" is synonymous with a method step, whereas all of the other details in claim 16 are "means" for performing a function. To be consistent with the rest of the claim and to overcome this rejection, the Examiner recommends changing line 13 to read "means for automatically flagging at least one ECG".

Claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 45, and 46 are also rejected since they depend from a rejected base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The indicated allowability of claims 21, 32, and their depending claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Williams (US 5,669,391) and Powell (US 5,549,654). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 21, 26, 27, 32, 37, 38, 47, 48, 50-52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (US 5,669,391).

In regard to claims 21 and 32, the Williams patent (US 5,669,391) discloses an ECG monitoring system whose features and method of operation read upon applicant's claims. Specifically, the Williams system comprises an implantable pulse generator 12 and an external instrument 13 (Fig.1). Pulse Generator 12 receives ECG data from sensors 17 and digitizes this data and formats it in data frames for uplinking to the external instrument via wireless telemetry (col.5, lines 15-50). Once received by the external instrument 13, the data is processed and displayed to a physician as shown in Fig.6. The display of Fig.6 shows a plurality of a patient's ECG signals over a plurality of heartbeats as well as measured interval duration information and annotations. Detected R-wave maximums perform the function of applicant's claimed "interval points" for determining the beginning and ending of intervals. The annotation data regarding signal morphology classification of an interval (i.e. tachycardia, fibrillation, non-tachycardia, etc.) and interval duration are stored in memory 25 (Fig.2 and also col.7, lines 9-64).

In regard to claims 26, 37, 47, and 51, a plurality of points (R-wave maximums) that define a plurality of intervals are shown in Figs.5 and 6.

Art Unit: 3766

In regard to claims 27, 38, 48, and 52, the intervals shown in Figs.5 and 6 appear to be R-R intervals.

In regard to claims 50 and 54, applicant's attention is directed to Fig.6, which shows annotated ECG waveform data over a plurality of heartbeat cycles being displayed on a screen.

9. Claims 21, 22, 28-30, 32, 33, 39-41, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powell (US 5,549,654).

In regard to claims 21 and 32, the Powell (US 5,549,654) patent describes a body implantable medical device (Fig.1) receiving ECG data from implanted leads 14,15, then digitizing and processing this data through digital controller 31 before uplinking this data via telemetry circuit 33 and antenna 23 to an external programmer (Fig.2). External programmer (Fig.2) comprises a display 54 that shows a plurality of sensed ECG signals 102 (Fig.3) and annotated ECG tracing information 106 on the same screen. Intervals are measured between left and right cursor bars (shown but not numbered in Fig.3) which may be scrolled via position buttons on the screen, or alternatively, shown as elements 152,154 in Fig.7. As shown in Fig.3, a 25mm (1 inch) distance on the screen represents a time duration of one second. Hence, for the example of Fig.3, the distance between the cursors bars is currently 1000ms, or 1 second. The location of the cursor bars is considered to be the "interval points". Figs.4 through 7 describe annotated ECG "tracing images"/Marker Channels showing the location of saved paced events (P) and sensed events (S). The programmer is capable of capturing and storing at least 10 seconds worth of ECG data (col.12, lines 64 thru col.13, line 7).

Art Unit: 3766

In regard to claims 22 and 33, applicant's attention is directed to Fig.7, which shows vertical "calipers" 152,154 which can be moved for selecting points on the ECG waveform.

In regard to claims 29 and 40, applicant's attention is directed to Fig.6 of Powell, which shows an annotated ECG tracing and explanation box **156**. This box supplies the evaluating physician with useful explanations regarding the ECG interval under study (col.15, line 59 thru col.16, line 9).

In regard to claims 28 and 39, the Powell implantable device includes lead 15 for sensing atrial cardiac signals and lead 14 for sensing ventricular cardiac signals.

In regard to claims 30 and 41, a stylus 76 may be used to retrieve interval information by "pointing and clicking" on screen 54 to activate explanatory text box 156 (col.15, liens 46-50).

This box may contain interval data such as AV interval duration (col.15, lines 63-67).

In regard to claim 54, the external programmer (Fig.2) is capable of performing the functions of applicant's claimed "means for retrieving", "means for developing" and "means for displaying" since it retrieves ECG data from the implantable device (Fig.1), processes the ECG data by adding annotations, and displays the annotated data on a screen (Figs. 3 and 7).

## Double Patenting

10. In view of the applicant's submission and approval of a Terminal Disclaimer, the Examiner is withdrawing the double patenting rejections based upon U.S Patent No. 6,708,057, which were made against applicant's claims 1, 4, 5, and 6 in the last Office action.

# Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 6 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

- 12. Claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 45, and 46 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 23-25, 31, 34-36, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. Claims 1-5, 10-15, 20, 43, and 44 are allowed.

### Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on 9/4/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CARLLAYNO

Carl H. Logro

ACTING SIE, AU3766

CHL 3/28/2007